ShiftTrained

FIELD REPORT · MAY 2026

The Menu-Knowledge Lift

How AI-Native Training Drove 11% to 34% Revenue Increases at Two Independent Chicago Restaurants

By **Terry Psaltakis**
Founder, ShiftTrained · 30-year restaurant operator

Key Findings

Two independent Chicago restaurants. Different concepts, different menus, different teams. Both deployed ShiftTrained. Both saw revenue lifts they couldn't explain by anything else.

+11%

check totals

Fat Tommy's Grill & Sports Bar

Crestwood, IL

+34%

wine sales (bottle + by-the-glass)

Black Barrel Tavern

West Loop, Chicago

Both restaurants achieved these results without changing the menu, raising prices, running promotions, or hiring additional staff. The only meaningful operational variable that changed was the staff training methodology.

01

PART ONE

Executive Summary

What was deployed, what changed, and what didn't.

TWO INDEPENDENT RESTAURANTS. ONE TRAINING METHOD. REAL POS NUMBERS.

Two operating Chicago restaurants deployed ShiftTrained, an AI-native menu training platform, in early 2026. After the rollouts, the restaurants reported the following revenue changes.

Fat Tommy's Grill & Sports Bar in Crestwood, IL saw average check totals up 11%. Black Barrel Tavern in Chicago's West Loop saw wine sales up 34%, combining bottle and by-the-glass.

Both restaurants achieved these results without changing the menu, raising prices, running promotions, or hiring additional staff. The only meaningful operational variable that changed was the staff training methodology.

This report explains what was measured, how it was measured, what the limitations are, and what the pattern suggests for restaurant operators evaluating AI training tools.

An honest note up front

This is not a controlled experiment. It is an operator-reported, POS-verified field record from two restaurants the author also operates. The findings are directional for restaurants of similar concept and size. They are not academic research.

02

PART TWO

The Industry Context

Why menu knowledge is the leak in every restaurant P&L.

THE STAFF YOU HAVE TONIGHT IS HALF-NEW. ALWAYS.

Restaurant operators have been told for 30 years that staff training drives revenue. Two pieces of work make the case at scale.

CORNELL · TRACEY & HINKIN (2008)

$5,864

per replacement, 2008 dollars

Cost to replace a single hourly restaurant employee. Includes recruiting, hiring, onboarding, lost productivity during ramp, and broken service experience until competence. Adjusted for 2026 wages, materially higher.

NRA WORKFORCE REPORTS

75 to 80%

industry-wide turnover

Annual NRA data. Quick-service higher, fine dining lower. Every shift you run is staffed in part by people who do not yet know your menu, your allergens, your build specs, or your upsell paths.

The implication is well known to operators. The revenue impact compounds across every check, every week, every quarter.

What's been missing from the conversation is what to do about it that actually works. Pre-shift meetings, manager tag-along weeks, paper binders, and generic LMS systems are the legacy methodology. Operators have run them for decades. The turnover and the menu-knowledge gap have not improved.

The question this report addresses is narrower. When an operator deploys a modern, AI-native, mobile, gamified training tool built specifically for menu knowledge, what happens to revenue?

03

PART THREE

Methodology

What was deployed, how it was measured, and what we held constant.

UPLOAD THE MENU. APPROVE THE FLAGS. SHIP TO STAFF.

WHAT WAS DEPLOYED

Both restaurants deployed ShiftTrained, an AI-native menu training platform. The deployment process at each site went as follows.

The manager uploaded the current menu, either as a PDF or as a photo. The platform's AI generated 100 to 400 quiz questions covering menu items, ingredients, allergens, pricing, pairings, and preparation methods. The manager reviewed and approved the AI's allergen flags. Quizzes shipped to staff via SMS. Staff took quizzes on their personal phones with no app installation required. Performance and leaderboards were visible to managers in the dashboard.

Total deployment time at each site was under one hour.

COMPARISON WINDOWS

Each restaurant's revenue figures were compared across two windows. The baseline window was the 90 days immediately preceding the ShiftTrained rollout. The post-rollout window was the 90 days immediately following the rollout.

WHAT WAS HELD CONSTANT

Across both restaurants, the following operational variables did not change between the baseline and post-rollout windows. Menu items remained identical. Pricing did not move. The staff roster stayed the same with no significant hiring or terminations. Operating hours, marketing spend, service style, and vendor relationships were unchanged. No promotions were run during either window.

WHAT CHANGED

The only operational variable

Pre-shift meetings continued, but staff also took ShiftTrained quizzes on their phones. The leaderboard was visible. Allergen-flagged questions required manager approval before shipping.

DATA SOURCES

Revenue figures were pulled from each restaurant's POS reporting (Toast in both cases). Manager observations of staff behavior were collected during weekly operational reviews. Quoted sources are the operating principals at each site.

WHAT THIS STUDY IS NOT

This is not a randomized controlled trial. There is no control group. The author has a financial interest in both restaurants and in ShiftTrained itself. Confounding factors that could partially or fully explain the lift include seasonality (one restaurant is a sports bar, sensitive to sports schedules), weather variation, local economic conditions, manager behavior change driven by the platform's existence (the Hawthorne effect), and servers self-selecting to take quizzes voluntarily.

A skeptical reader should treat the findings as suggestive of a pattern worth testing in their own operation, not as proof.

04

CASE STUDY ONE · CRESTWOOD, IL

Fat Tommy's Grill & Sports Bar

A casual sports bar where servers stopped guessing and check totals climbed 11%.

CASUAL DINING. HIGH TURNOVER. +11% AVERAGE CHECK.

THE SETUP

Fat Tommy's is a casual dining sports bar in Crestwood, Illinois. The menu includes 14 burgers, 8 flatbreads, the Tin Can Tower Nachos build, a full wing program with multiple sauces, and a range of entrees with default and premium side options.

The restaurant has historically run pre-shift meetings before each service. Like most casual operations, server tenure is short and turnover continuous. Before deployment, the operating reality was the one every casual operator recognizes. By Friday night, new servers were guessing on ingredients, defaulting to safer recommendations, and missing upsell paths.

WHAT THE AI SURFACED

In the first 12 minutes of deployment, ShiftTrained's AI generated quiz questions covering several distinct knowledge areas.

Allergen flags. The pesto mayo on the Gobbler contains pine nuts. The mac and cheese balls share a fryer with breaded items. Several mayo-based sauces contain eggs.

Side combination logic. Every entree has a default side and a premium-side upgrade. The AI mapped the upgrade pricing and verbal cues for capturing the upsell.

Flatbread distinctions. Different ingredient profiles, different audience appeal, different cross-sell pairings.

Wing sauce knowledge. Hot Honey, Garlic Parmesan, Sweet Chili, and others, each with a distinct flavor profile and recommendation context.

The manager reviewed and approved the allergen flags. Quizzes shipped to the floor staff via SMS that day.

WHAT CHANGED ON THE FLOOR

Within weeks, three behaviors emerged that were not present at baseline.

Side-upgrade attach rate climbed. The verbal cue from server to guest (“would you like to upgrade your fries to sweet potato waffle fries for $2?”) shifted from inconsistent to consistent. Capture rate improved.

Confident specials descriptions emerged. Saturday night specials that previously got one-line server mentions began getting full pitches with ingredient detail.

Cross-table consistency improved. Two servers describing the same dish to two adjacent tables now actually said the same thing about the dish.

None of these are dramatic individual behavior changes. Stacked across 40 hours of weekly service, they showed up in average check totals.

THE NUMBERS

Average check totals across the 90-day post-rollout window were **11% higher** than the 90-day baseline window.

> ***Since we started using ShiftTrained, we have made no other changes. Check totals have gone up 11%. The training is doing the work for us.***
>
> **— Tommy P., Co-Owner, Fat Tommy's Grill & Sports Bar**

WHAT WE ATTRIBUTE THE LIFT TO

Three mechanisms appear to be doing the work.

1. Default-side-to-premium-side conversion. Servers who confidently know the upgrade pricing and the verbal cue capture the $2 add-on more often. Across thousands of weekly entrees, this compounds.

2. Cross-sell on appetizers and flatbreads. Servers who can describe the differences between similar items recommend more confidently, leading to higher attachment of higher-margin items.

3. Allergen confidence reducing menu downsizing. Tables previously steered toward "safe" items by uncertain servers stayed open to broader menu options when servers could answer ingredient questions confidently.

05

CASE STUDY TWO · WEST LOOP, CHICAGO

Black Barrel Tavern

A wine program the operator was proud of, finally moving the way the list was designed to move.

GOOD WINE LIST. SCARED STAFF. +34% WINE SALES.

THE SETUP

Black Barrel Tavern is a full-service tavern in Chicago's West Loop, with a wine program covering bottle and by-the-glass options across reds, whites, and sparkling. The list had been built over several years. By the operator's own assessment, the list was good. The operator had a wine program he was proud of and a sales line that did not reflect it.

The constraint, as identified pre-deployment, was the staff. Servers and bartenders were intimidated by the wine list. A guest asking “what's a nice red under $50?” would receive a default suggestion of the house red, when the guest was prepared to spend $80. Pronunciation anxiety on European bottles caused servers to steer guests to wines they could pronounce.

This is the universal wine-program failure mode. Operators recognize it.

WHAT THE AI SURFACED

ShiftTrained's AI processed the bottle list, the by-the-glass program, growing regions, prices, and tasting notes. Within 12 minutes, it generated questions covering several knowledge areas.

Tasting profiles. Light, medium, full body. Dry vs off-dry. Oaked vs unoaked.

Pairing logic. What to recommend with the steak frites, the salmon, the cheese board.

Pronunciation cues. Harder names were flagged so staff could practice on their phones, on their own time, before standing at the table.

Price tier mapping. When a guest asks for “a nice red under $50,” the staff has three immediate options ready, without panicked pause.

Allergen and sulfite handling. Confident, accurate answers for guests who ask.

The manager reviewed and approved the allergen and pricing flags. Quizzes shipped to every server and every bartender.

WHAT CHANGED ON THE FLOOR

Within the first month, four behaviors emerged.

Bartenders steering guests toward wine when the guest asked for “something different,” instead of defaulting to a cocktail every time.

Servers running pairing options unprompted when a table ordered an entree.

Harder bottles started moving. European wines with names previously avoided by staff began getting recommended.

Cross-team consistency. A server pitching a bottle could get a confident endorsement from the bar when the guest checked back with the bartender.

The operator also noted a change in pre-shift dynamics. Servers and bartenders began checking each other's leaderboard scores. The wine program became a topic of conversation among staff, not a topic the manager had to push.

THE NUMBERS

Wine sales (bottle and by-the-glass combined) across the 90-day post-rollout window were **34% higher** than the 90-day baseline window.

> ***Wine sales for both bottle and by-the-glass are up 34%. The staff is not scared to talk about the wine anymore. That's the biggest change.***
>
> **— George G., Operating Partner, Black Barrel Tavern**

WHAT WE ATTRIBUTE THE LIFT TO

Two mechanisms appear to be doing most of the work.

1. Removal of the pronunciation barrier. Staff who can confidently pronounce bottle names recommend them at the table. Staff who can't, don't. This is the largest single revenue lever in a mid-priced wine program.

2. Price-tier mapping replacing the panicked pause. When a guest asks for “a nice red under $X,” the server now has three suggestions ready instead of one default. Three options at the price ceiling beat one option at the price floor.

06

PART SIX

The Pattern

What both restaurants have in common, and why it travels.

THE LIFT COMES FROM STAFF BEING LESS AFRAID OF THE MENU.

Two cases are not a sample size. But the cases are at different concept tiers (sports bar and tavern), different product mixes (food-driven and wine-driven), different service styles, and different teams. The pattern that holds across both is worth naming.

The lift comes from the staff being less afraid of the menu.

In both restaurants, the menu was already good. The pricing was already correct. The product mix was already designed to capture spend. The constraint was the staff's willingness to engage with the menu in front of the guest.

When the staff knew the menu cold, they recommended confidently, steered toward higher-margin items where appropriate, captured upsell paths the menu was already designed to enable, and stopped defaulting to safe items.

When the staff did not know the menu cold, all of those reverted to baseline.

The training methodology that produced the staff-confidence shift had three operational characteristics in common.

First, mobile-first delivery. Quizzes lived on personal phones. No app installation. Staff studied during downtime, in the car, at home.

Second, content specific to the menu in question. Not generic restaurant training. Built around the specific items, prices, and ingredients of the operator's menu.

Third, gamified accountability. Leaderboards visible to staff. Self-comparison drove voluntary retakes.

These characteristics do not exist in legacy training methodologies (paper binders, pre-shift meetings, manager tag-alongs, generic LMS). They became practical to deliver at independent-restaurant price points only after AI made content generation cheap enough to scale to the menu of every individual operator.

07

PART SEVEN

Implications for Operators

If you run a restaurant and want to test this pattern, here's how to read it.

THE LEAK IS NOT IN YOUR MENU. IT'S IN THE PITCH.

If you operate a restaurant and you are evaluating whether to invest in updated training tools, three operational implications follow from what these two cases suggest.

First, assume the lift is in your existing menu. The cases above did not require menu redesign. They did not require new items, repriced items, or new specials. The revenue lift came from servers actually capturing the spend the menu was already designed to capture. Your menu is probably already pricing-optimized. The leak is at the moment of recommendation.

Second, pre-shift meetings are not the answer. They have not been the answer for 30 years. The forgetting curve is real, and a 5-minute pre-shift cannot beat it. Whatever you replace pre-shift with needs to be on the staff's phone, available when they have 90 seconds, and built around your specific menu.

Third, measure with your POS. The hard part of evaluating a training tool is not the deployment. It is establishing whether it actually moves revenue. Your POS already has the data. Pull average check totals before and after. Pull category sales (wine, appetizers, premium sides) before and after. The signal will be visible if it exists.

> **If the platform you deploy moves the POS line, keep it. If it doesn't, drop it.**
>
> Don't trust testimonials, leaderboard screenshots, or “engagement” metrics. Trust the POS.

08

PART EIGHT

Limitations and Honest Disclosures

What this report is not, and what a skeptical reader should weigh.

TWO RESTAURANTS IS NOT A SAMPLE. IT'S A PAIR OF CASE STUDIES.

The cases above have the following limitations a critical reader should consider.

Sample size of two. Two restaurants is not a sample. It is a pair of case studies. The findings should be treated as suggestive, not as evidence of a generalizable effect.

Author financial interest. The author operates both restaurants and is the founder of the platform deployed. This is a known bias and is disclosed in full. The data is verifiable through each restaurant's POS reporting, and the operating principals at each site are available for direct conversation with skeptical operators.

Confounding variables. Seasonality, weather, local economic conditions, sports schedule (relevant for the sports bar case), and the Hawthorne effect can all partially or fully explain the lift. We have not controlled for them and could not without a counterfactual.

Selection effect in voluntary retakes. Staff who voluntarily retake quizzes are a self-selected subset of the team. The behaviors we attributed to the platform may partly reflect characteristics of staff who would have engaged with any structured training. We cannot disentangle these.

No control group. Both restaurants deployed ShiftTrained. Neither served as the control. A more rigorous study would compare two similar restaurants where one deployed the platform and the other did not, ideally with random assignment.

A serious operator considering a similar deployment should treat these case studies as a hypothesis to test in their own operation, with their own POS reporting as the verification.

Citations and Further Reading

Tracey, J. B., and Hinkin, T. R. (2008). *Contextual factors and cost profiles associated with employee turnover.* Cornell Hospitality Quarterly. The source for the per-replacement turnover cost figure.

National Restaurant Association. Annual workforce reports, 2019 through 2025. Source for the 75 to 80 percent industry turnover figures.

Levitt, T. (1960). *Marketing Myopia.* Harvard Business Review. The reference frame for understanding why incumbent training methodologies are facing a paradigm shift.

Fat Tommy's Grill & Sports Bar. Operating restaurant in Crestwood, IL. www.fattommys.com

Black Barrel Tavern. Operating tavern in Chicago's West Loop. www.blackbarrelchicago.com

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Terry Psaltakis

Founder, ShiftTrained · 30-year restaurant operator

30 years on the floor. Terry has opened more than 20 concepts across multiple markets, in every role from dishwasher to owner. The operator's lens is the differentiator: his experience translates into tools that actually work in the business, built around the realities of fast pre-shifts, high turnover, and menu changes that hit on a Tuesday.

He is the founder of ShiftTrained, an AI-powered menu training platform that turns a menu PDF or photo into 100 to 400 quiz questions in 12 minutes. Restaurants run their entire FOH training on it from a phone, with no app to install.

He is based in the Chicago metro area, writes at shifttrained.com/community, and is available for interviews, panels, and operator-to-operator conversations.

For interviews, customer references, or methodology questions:

hello@shifttrained.com

About ShiftTrained

ShiftTrained is an AI-powered menu training platform for restaurants. Operators upload a menu PDF or photo, and AI generates 100 to 400 quiz questions in 12 minutes. Staff take quizzes on their phones with no app installation required.

The platform is mobile-native, allergen-safe (with manager-approval flags on every flagged ingredient), gamified (real-time leaderboards), and built around the operator's specific menu rather than generic restaurant training material. Free trial. No credit card required.

Featured in AP News, the USA Today network, and 500+ outlets. Used by Fat Tommy's Grill & Sports Bar (Crestwood, IL), Black Barrel Tavern (West Loop, Chicago), and a growing roster of independent operators.

shifttrained.com